

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
(Northern Division)**

**VERIZON MARYLAND, INC.,**

**Plaintiff**

**v.**

**Civil No. 06-01663-MJG**

**MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND,**

**Defendant**

**APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS FOR DEFENDANT’S MEMORANDUM  
IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Exhibit 1	Cable Communications Law Challenged Provisions
Exhibit 2	Declaration of J. Lawton in Support of County’s Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction  Exhibit A: Email from C. Fernandez to B. Growing and J. Lawton dated July 6, 2006 Exhibit B: Verizon Draft Franchise dated June 7, 2005 Exhibit C: County’s Draft Franchise dated June 23, 2005 Exhibit D: Letter from J. Lawton to E. Butts dated August 9, 2005
Exhibit 3	Declaration of A. Moore in Support of County’s Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction:  Exhibit A: Letter from B. Johnson to C. Royalty dated August 11, 2005 Exhibit B: Fairfax County Verizon Franchise Exhibit C: Letter from J. Lawton to E. Butts dated October 17, 2005 Exhibit D: Verizon’s Draft Agreement Received on November 3, 2005 Exhibit E: “Salient Issues List” dated March 13, 2006 Exhibit F: Action Items Chart dated April 14, 2006 from B. Johnson to N. Miller Exhibit G: County Proposed Language Changes dated April 21, 2006
Exhibit 4	Declaration of G. Ashpaugh in Support of County’s Opposition to Motion for Preliminary

	<p>Injunction  Attachment A: Montgomery County, Maryland, Analysis of Cable Television Rate Regulation  Resume of Garth Ashpaugh</p>
Exhibit 5	Bighthouse Rate List
Exhibit 6	Cox Rate List
Exhibit 7	<p>Declaration of M. Cooper in Support of County’s Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction  Exhibit A: Demographic Characteristics of Local Franchising Areas in Maryland Where Verizon is Seeking to Offer Cable Service  Exhibit B: Alternate Views of Consumer Welfare Effects of Competitive Entry  Exhibit C: Alternate Assumptions for the Consumer Benefit Analysis  Resume of Mark Cooper</p>
Exhibit 8	<p>Declaration of A. Afflerbach in Support of County’s Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction  Attachments:  Resume of Andrew Afflerbach  Figure 1  Figure 2  Construction Reports</p>